OUTLINE
 Why study cross-cultural communication?
 Culture as an explanation
Two views of <i>nihonjin-ron</i> (Dale & Sugimoto)
 Effects and repercussions
 Hamaguchi's kanjin-shugi theory
-



Questions asked...

- How does group X communicate?
- What are the *differences* between how group A and group B communicate?



Ingrid Piller's question...

"Who makes culture relevant to whom in what context for what purpose?"







A definition...

- "works of cultural nationalism concerned with the ostensible 'uniqueness' of Japan in any aspect, and which are hostile to both individual experience and the notion of internal sociohistorical diversity."
- Dale identifies 5 important assumptions...

Dale, P. N. (1986). The myth of Japanese uniqueness. Nissan Institute/Croom Helm Japanese Studies Series. Croom Helm, London; Sydney.

Assumptions of the genre: 1

1. The *only valid basis* from which to study Japanese society is using native informants' judgements (**emic**), as opposed to external or foreign analysis (**etic**).

Emic & Etic

- EMIC: accounts indigenous to a language or social situation, seen from an internal perspective
- ETIC: accounts made from an external perspective, includes sociological observers viewpoints

Assumptions of the genre: 2

2. The Japanese people can be treated as a culturally and socially homogeneous racial entity, whose **essence** is virtually unchanged through time.



Assumptions of the genre: 3 + 4

3. The Japanese people **differ radically** from all other known peoples in terms of society, culture, and language.

4. Foreigners are incapable of completely understanding Japanese culture and language.



Assumptions of the genre: 5

5. Japanese "blood" is essential in order to understand Japanese society, culture, and language.





History of NHJR

- **1945-1954:** many authors negative towards Japan. Japan's 'culture' had led to defeat in the war and the deaths of many people.
- 1955-1963: look back beyond defeat in WW2 and rediscover historical roots of 'Japanese culture'

mura, S. 1992. Nihonbunkagaku [The study of Japanese culture]. In Nihongo kyoiku [Japanese language education], ed. by K. Okuda, 164-179. Tokyo: Fukurnura

History of NHJR

- **1964-1983:** works were characterized by affirmation of the special characteristics of Japanese culture and language.
- **1984-** : shift of emphasis in *Nihonjinron* from discussing unique characteristics to more universal perspectives on Japanese culture and language.

ura, S. 1992. Nihonbunkagaku [The study of Japanese culture]. In Nihongo kyoiku [Japanese language education], ed. by K. Okuda, 164-179. Tokyo: Fukurnura





Sugimoto's assessment of NHJR

- · Based on 'racial' classification
- "State ideology of national integration"
- Obscures division and (natural?) variations
 within Japanese society...
 - Class, social strata, employment status, educational background, gender, age

Sugimoto: Variations in NHJR

- NHJR authors share no overtly *political* agenda
- Three broad discursive divisions:
 - Ethno-centric / ethno-nationalist
 - Ethno-critical
 - Isolation-hegemony

1.Ethno-centric / ethno-nationalist

Why the

Japanese are

a Superior

People!

- · Japan is 'unique'
- Japanese culture is 'unique' and so...
- · Japanese people are 'unique'
- (...and superior!!)

2.Ethno-critical

- Japanese people have certain unique traits and features...
- · These have persisted throughout history
- They have negative effects
- Japanese people are 'unique' but *suffer* because of this

3.Isolation-Hegemony

http://www.japantoday.com/category/arts-culture/view/why-the-japanese-aresuperior-people

- · Japan's culture is 'unique'
- It needs protecting from 'hegemonic' powers (usually either the US or China)
- Therefore Japan should isolate itself...
- · ...otherwise it's 'uniqueness' may be diluted

Internationalisation/Japanisation

- "Yasuma Takada (1883-1972) is the greatest sociologist Japan ever had." Ken'ichi Tominaga
 - "Our Japanese sociology is a discipline which formulates the practical guiding principles not for the internationalization of Japan but for the Japanization of the world and we must take an initiatives to present concrete methods to achieve this goal"

Globalisation

How to deal with



- Expat residents
 immigrant workers
- naturalized foreigners
- returnee children educated abroad and perhaps not fluent in Japanese
- children of mixed marriages

Practical uses of NHJR...

- "Protect the national interest" (as perceived by national leadership)
 - Consensuality \rightarrow slow decision-making
 - Lack of understanding of J culture \rightarrow few local managers in branches of J companies
 - Culture of *keiretsu* \rightarrow unfair trade practises

Existence ≠ importance

- · Ideas like:
 - amae 甘え
 - enryo 遠慮
 - tatemae/honne 建前 / 本音
 - wa 和
 - giri 義理 etc. seem to be EMIC
- What about amae in Germany?
- How important is tatemae in Ethopia?

Kanjin-shugi (間人主義)

- Eshun Hamaguchi Prof Emeritus, International Research Center for Japanese Studies etc etc...
- West = 個人 kojin
- Japan (East?) = **間人** *kanjin* (reversing kanji of *ningen* 人間)



間人主義の社会日本

日本研究原論 関係体としての日本人と日本社会 1998 etc

Yoshitaka Kashima's research...

- Surveys in Australia, Hawaii, US, Korea, Japan
 - I am prepared to do things for my group at any time, even though I have to sacrifice my own interest
 - I don't support my group when they are wrong
 - I do things in my way regardless of what my group members expect me to do
 - I often pretend to agree with the majority opinion in my group
 - I don't want to stand out in my group.... etc etc

Kashima, Y., Yamaguchi, S., Kim, U., Choi, S.-C., Gelfand, M. J., and Yuki, M. (1995). Culture, gender, and self: a perspective from individualism-collectivism research. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 69(5):925.

Results

- ...were complex but overall:
 - Lowest kanjin-shugi orientation was amongst Japanese males (very individualistic!!)
 - Overall, *kanjin-shugi* orientation is comparatively low in Japan.

Kashima, Y., Yamaguchi, S., Kim, U., Choi, S.-C., Gelfand, M. J., and Yuki, M. (1995). Culture, gender, and self: a perspective from individualism-collectivism research. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 69(5):925.

But.

Critique of NHJR

NHJR-bashing is a dead-end

 Are all difference socially and discursively constructed? There are real 'cultural' differences!

- Use discourse, invented tradition, imagined communities, etc to re-evaluate the myth vs. reality binary
- Acknowledgement of *diversity* in Japan is an important development.
- Henshall (1999: x) points out, by claiming that Japanese society is really no different from any other society we move to the other extreme.
- Works from late '80s '90s have tended to dilute the particularity of Japan by overly stressing elements of universality in their cause of proving that Japan is really not so different.
- The problem is how to reconcile unease towards a NHJR-style holistic account of Japan with the recognition that Japanese society is (and its members are) in many ways different to (those in) other societies.

Henshall, K. G. (1999) Dimensions of Japanese Society: Gender, Margins and Mainstream. Macmillan: St. Martin's Press, Basingstoke; New York

Discursive Formation

- The modern nation-state relies for its very existence on the construction of a coherent set of national traits, traits that allow countries to function as 'imagined communities'.
- Challenge the use of 'myth' in the pejorative sense (no basis in fact)
- Look at how, over time, various <u>discourses have</u> <u>contributed to the construction of a Japanese world view,</u> <u>a view that is not 'false' or 'illusory', but rooted in</u> <u>everyday, lived social reality and which holds</u> <u>significance and meaning for many Japanese.</u>

NR as Discourse

- A discourse is a group of statements which provide a language for talking about – *i.e.* a way of representing – a particular kind of knowledge about a topic. When statements about a topic are made within a particular discourse, the discourse makes it possible to construct the topic in a certain way and limits the other ways.
- Importantly, discourses are neither 'true' nor 'false'; rather, historically, 'effects of truth are produced within discourse', with the dominant discourse defining the 'truth' of the situation at any particular moment (Foucault 1980: 118).
- As Stuart Hall points out, statements are rarely ever simply 'true' or 'false'; moreover, because people act on discourse, descriptions – definitions of reality – can <u>become 'true'</u>: <u>they have a self-fulfilling potency</u>. Discourses are not only descriptive but <u>prescriptive</u>, providing a model or 'identity kit' for people in terms of how they say they (ought to) act and often how they do in fact act.

Foucault, M. (1980) Power/Knowledge. Pantheon Books, New York.

Evidence of Discursive Formation

- 'Samuraisation': loyalty, perseverance, and diligence said to be held by a small (but elite) segment of the population – the samurai – were gradually extended through propaganda, education, and regulation to cover the whole of the population
- highlights loyalty and obedience
- Becomes a 'model of behavior' a discourse on peasants and workers that, in the name of national unity, made control and coercion easier. This sometimes had terrible consequences, as with the compulsory mass suicides (*shūdan jiketsu*) of 1945, something that earlier would have been *expected only of samurai*.

Next Week

- Identity and Stereotyping
- Amartya Sen
 Identity and Violence (Ch1)